Moreover, academic misconduct by students who have developmental disabilities may also (repeatedly) fall into this category for demonstrating a lack of understanding of academic standards, even when an instructor makes them aware of its significance. In cases where a student may have a disability, please consult with the Centre for Accessible Learning (CAL).

Category 2 academic misconduct incidents are more severe in nature than Category 1 incidents. It is not necessary that a student be held responsible for a Category 1 incident before they can be held responsible for a Category 2 incident; a first-time incident that is severe in nature can be deemed as a Category 2 misconduct.

While is it possible that Category 2 academic misconduct incidents can be resolved through an informal process, the severity of these incidents may warrant being resolved through a formal process (see Policy Supporting Document for clarification and procedural details).

- Repeated incidents of academic misconduct in the course; and/or
- Repeated incidents across the courses a student is enrolled in (knowledge only accessible to Dean/Director); and/or
- Academic misconduct that has the potential to compromise the integrity of the program and/or reputation of the College (ex. academic dishonesty conducted during a practicum or work-term that tarnishes the integrity of the program); and/or
- Deliberate contravention of the Academic Integrity policy; and/or
- Deliberate actions to avoid accountability, whereby the student attempts to conceal their academic misconduct (ex. falsely blaming another student for academic misconduct; falsifying documents after an allegation has been made, etc.).

- Documentation via a Letter of Information that is given to the student outlining the incident and the outcome of violating the Academic Integrity policy (a must under the policy); and
- Failing grade for the course (instructors are encouraged to communicate with their Chairs and/or Associate Deans/Dean if this is the outcome the instructor wants to issue);
- E } š š] } v } v š Z • š µ v šat the šStudent wŒ fpond šresponsible for academic misconduct (this outcome can only be operationalized by the Office of the Registrar, after consultation and documentation from the instructor and/or School in which the student is in);
- Temporary suspension (Œ } u š Z } o o P ~ v } v o Ç Œ } u u v Ç µ š] } Academic Appeals Panel and/or a Dean/Director/Designate. Final implementation is at the] • Œ š] } v } (š Z } o o P W Œ •] v š X W Œ •] v š [•] •] } v v ‰ Governors); and/or
- Any outcome that falls under Category 1; and/or
- Such other outcomes as may be appropriate in the circumstances.